

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

BETH A. WOOD, CPA



DAVIE COUNTY CLERK OF SUPERIOR COURT

MOCKSVILLE, NORTH CAROLINA


FINANCIAL RELATED AUDIT

AUGUST 2015



NCOSA
The Taxpayers' Watchdog

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA
Office of the State Auditor



Beth A. Wood, CPA
State Auditor

2 S. Salisbury Street
20601 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-0600
Telephone: (919) 807-7500
Fax: (919) 807-7647
<http://www.ncauditor.net>

AUDITOR'S TRANSMITTAL

August 17, 2015

The Honorable Pat McCrory, Governor
The General Assembly of North Carolina
The Honorable Ellen E. Drechsler
Davie County Clerk of Superior Court

This report presents the results of our financial related audit at Davie County Clerk of Superior Court. Our work was performed by authority of Article 5A of Chapter 147 of the *North Carolina General Statutes* and was conducted in accordance with the performance audit standards contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States.

The results of our audit identified a deficiency in internal control that is considered reportable under *Government Auditing Standards*. This item is described in the *Audit Findings Recommendations, and Responses* section of this report.

North Carolina General Statutes require the State Auditor to make audit reports available to the public. Copies of audit reports issued by the Office of the State Auditor may be obtained through one of the options listed in the back of this report.

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Beth A. Wood".

Beth A. Wood, CPA
State Auditor



Beth A. Wood, CPA
State Auditor

Table of Contents

	PAGE
BACKGROUND	1
AUDIT OBJECTIVES AND SCOPE	2
METHODOLOGY	3
RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS	4
AUDIT FINDINGS, RECOMMENDATIONS, AND RESPONSES	5
ORDERING INFORMATION	6

Article V, Chapter 147 of the North Carolina General Statutes, gives the Auditor broad powers to examine all books, records, files, papers, documents, and financial affairs of every state agency and any organization that receives public funding. The Auditor also has the power to summon people to produce records and to answer questions under oath.

As authorized by Article 5A of Chapter 147 of the *North Carolina General Statutes*, we have conducted a financial related audit at Davie County Clerk of Superior Court. There were no special circumstances that caused us to conduct the audit, but rather it was performed as part of our effort to periodically examine and report on the financial practices of state agencies and institutions.

The voters of each county elect a Clerk of Superior Court for a four-year term. Clerks are responsible for all clerical and record-keeping functions of the superior court and district court. The Clerks' Offices collect, invest, and distribute assets in a fiduciary capacity. For example, the Clerks' Offices collect fines and court costs, hold cash and property bonds, administer estates on behalf of minors, and distribute resources to governmental and private parties as required.

The North Carolina Administrative Office of the Courts (NCAOC) provides statewide support services for the courts, including court programs and management services; information technology; human resources services; financial, legal, and legislative support; and purchasing services. In addition, the NCAOC prepares and administers the court system's budget.

The general objective of this financial related audit was to identify improvements needed in internal control over selected fiscal matters. Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control. Internal control is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance that relevant objectives are achieved. Errors or fraud may nevertheless occur and not be detected because of the inherent limitations of internal control. Also, projections of any evaluation of internal control to future periods are subject to the risk that conditions may change or that compliance with policies and procedures may deteriorate. Our audit does not provide a basis for rendering an opinion on internal control, and consequently, we have not issued such an opinion.

Our audit scope covered the period July 1, 2014 through March 31, 2015. During our audit, we considered internal control related to the following objectives:

Cash – The Clerk's Office collects various fines, fees, and court costs daily, as well as collections for bonds, judgments, and other matters. We examined internal controls designed to ensure that the Clerk properly safeguards and accounts for cash receipts. We also examined internal controls designed to ensure compliance with laws and regulations related to depositing cash receipts. During the audit period, the Clerk collected \$2,938,859.09 in cash.

Estates – The Clerk's Office ensures all estates are charged an application fee plus an assessment based on the value of the estate's inventory. An estate inventory is to be filed by the representative of the estate. We examined internal controls designed to ensure that the Clerk properly obtains an inventory for each estate in compliance with laws and regulations. We also examined internal controls designed to ensure compliance with laws and regulations related to the appropriate assessment and collection of estate fees. During the audit period, the Clerk collected \$89,508.02 in estate fees.

Bond Forfeitures – The Clerk's Office ensures that all motions or orders to set aside bond forfeitures meet specified criteria and are supported by required documentation. We examined internal controls designed to ensure compliance with laws and regulations related to the processing of these bond forfeitures. During the audit period, \$132,017.00 in bond forfeitures were set aside.

To accomplish the audit objectives, auditors gained an understanding of the Clerk's internal control over matters described in the *Audit Objectives and Scope* section of this report and evaluated the design of the internal control. Auditors then performed further audit procedures consisting of tests of control effectiveness and/or substantive procedures that provide evidence about our audit objectives. Specifically, auditors interviewed personnel, observed operations, reviewed policies, analyzed accounting records, and examined documentation supporting recorded transactions and balances, as considered necessary in the circumstances. Whenever sampling was used, we applied a nonstatistical approach, but chose sample sizes comparable to those that would have been determined statistically. As a result, we were able to project our results to the population but not quantify the sampling risk.

As a basis for evaluating internal control, we applied the internal control guidance contained in professional auditing standards. As discussed in the standards, internal control consists of five interrelated components: (1) control environment, (2) risk assessment, (3) control activities, (4) information and communication, and (5) monitoring.

We conducted this audit in accordance with generally accepted government auditing standards applicable to performance audits. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our findings and conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Based on the results of audit procedures described in the *Methodology* section of this report, auditors identified a deficiency in internal control that is considered reportable under *Government Auditing Standards*. This item is described in the *Audit Findings, Recommendations, and Responses* section of this report. Management's response is presented after the audit finding. We did not audit the response, and accordingly, we express no opinion on the response.

IMPROPER SYSTEM ACCESS

System access rights that are inconsistent with proper segregation of duties were assigned to employees. Three employees had cashier rights in the Financial Management System (FMS) and update access in the Criminal Court Information System (CCIS).

While no instances of fraud were identified during the audit period, the access rights assigned to the employees could have allowed an individual to misappropriate funds by collecting cash from a criminal payment, bypassing receipt entry into FMS, and updating CCIS to indicate all costs have been paid.

During the audit period, the North Carolina Administrative Office of the Courts (NCAOC) executed the update functionality within CCIS and in doing so, access rights were automatically assigned within the system based on outdated roles and responsibilities. Appropriate communication between the two parties prior to this system update did not take place to ensure employee access rights were consistent with proper segregation of duties. As a result, the Clerk was unaware of the access rights assignments within the criminal system until it was brought to her attention by the auditors.

Adequate segregation of duties is required by the *Clerk of Superior Court Financial Policies and Procedures Manual*. Proper segregation of duties involves assigning duties and access to assets and information systems so that one employee's duties automatically provide a cross-check of the work of other employees.

As a result of our audit, the Clerk corrected the access deficiencies by taking measures to update the CCIS access rights to inquiry for those employees in question. All access deficiencies were corrected as of June 5, 2015.

Recommendation: Prior to the implementation of, or changes to, computer systems used in the Clerk's Office, the Clerk should be proactive in working with NCAOC to ensure access rights are properly assigned and are consistent with proper segregation of duties in accordance with guidance contained in the *Clerk of Superior Court Financial Policies and Procedures Manual*.

Clerk's Response: As stated in the improper system access findings, immediate action was taken to remove CCIS update access for all FMS users. We will continue to monitor all system access levels to maintain proper segregation of duties and reduce risks.

ORDERING INFORMATION

COPIES OF THIS REPORT MAY BE OBTAINED BY CONTACTING:

Office of the State Auditor
State of North Carolina
2 South Salisbury Street
20601 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-0600

Telephone: 919-807-7500
Facsimile: 919-807-7647
Internet: <http://www.ncauditor.net/>

To report alleged incidents of fraud, waste or abuse in state government contact the
Office of the State Auditor Fraud Hotline: **1-800-730-8477**
or download our free app.



<https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=net.ncauditor.ncauditor>



<https://itunes.apple.com/us/app/nc-state-auditor-hotline/id567315745>

For additional information contact:
Bill Holmes
Director of External Affairs
919-807-7513



This audit was conducted in 176.5 hours at an approximate cost of \$16,698.50.