

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

INVESTIGATIVE REPORT

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA SCHOOL OF THE ARTS

WINSTON-SALEM, NORTH CAROLINA

AUGUST 2013

OFFICE OF THE STATE AUDITOR

BETH A. WOOD, CPA

STATE AUDITOR

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

PURPOSE

The Office of the State Auditor investigated allegations that the Chief Information Officer (CIO) at the North Carolina School of the Arts (School) misappropriated a School computer. Allegedly, the CIO gave the computer to her son for his personal use at home and as a student at Appalachian State University.

BACKGROUND

The University of North Carolina School of the Arts is a state-supported school that was established by the General Assembly in 1963. More than 1,100 students from middle school through graduate school train at the School for careers in the arts.

KEY FINDINGS

- The CIO requisitioned an Apple MacBook Pro laptop computer at the School and gave it to her son. The son initially used the laptop at home and later as a student at Appalachian State University.
- The School is not in compliance with its policy for safeguarding and tracking School equipment.
- School department heads are not required to obtain supervisory approval for the purchase of equipment for use in their respective departments.

KEY RECOMMENDATIONS

- School management should take disciplinary action against the Chief Information Officer for her misappropriation of state property.
- School management should verify that a physical inventory of School equipment is performed in conjunction with the annual fixed asset inventory.
- The School's fixed asset policy should be re-emphasized with all department heads to ensure compliance.
- School management should adopt a policy that requires supervisory approval for department heads requisitioning equipment for their own use at the School.

The key findings and recommendations in this summary are not inclusive of all the findings and recommendations in the report.

STATE OF NORTH CAROLINA

Office of the State Auditor



2 S. Salisbury Street 20601 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-0601 Telephone: (919) 807-7500 Fax: (919) 807-7647 Internet http://www.ncauditor.net

AUDITOR'S TRANSMITTAL

The Honorable Pat McCrory, Governor

Members of the North Carolina General Assembly

Mr. Peter D. Hans, Chair, Board of Governors, The University of North Carolina

Mr. Thomas W. Ross, President, The University of North Carolina

Mr. Charlie Lucas, Chair, Board of Trustees, University of North Carolina School of the Arts

Dr. James C. Moeser, Interim Chancellor, University of North Carolina School of the Arts

Ladies and Gentlemen:

Pursuant to *North Carolina General Statute § 147-64.6(c)(16)*, we have completed an investigation of allegations concerning the University of North Carolina School of the Arts. The results of our investigation, along with recommendations for corrective action, are contained in this report.

Copies of this report have been provided to the Governor, the Attorney General and other appropriate officials in accordance with *North Carolina General Statute* § 147-64.6 (c)(12). We appreciate the cooperation received from the management and staff of the University of North Carolina School of the Arts during our investigation.

Respectfully submitted,

Beel A. Wood

Beth A. Wood, CPA

State Auditor

August 29, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
Introduction	1
ORGANIZATION OVERVIEW	3
FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	5
RESPONSE FROM THE UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA SCHOOL OF THE ARTS	11
Ordering Information	15

INTRODUCTION

The Office of the State Auditor received an allegation through the *State Auditor's Hotline* concerning the possible misappropriation of state property at the University of North Carolina School of the Arts (School). Allegedly, the Chief Information Officer (CIO) falsely claimed that the Apple MacBook Pro laptop computer assigned to her was broken. She acquired another MacBook Pro laptop, but failed to report the original laptop as damaged or missing. It was further alleged that the CIO gave the laptop to her son for his personal use at college.

Our investigation of this allegation included the following procedures:

- Review of applicable sections of North Carolina General Statutes and the Office of the State Controller's Policies and Procedures
- Review of the School's policies and procedures
- Forensic examination of a School computer assigned to the CIO
- Interviews with School personnel

This report presents the results of our investigation. The investigation was conducted pursuant to *North Carolina General Statute § 147-64.6 (c)(16)*.

[This Page Left Blank Intentionally]

ORGANIZATION OVERVIEW

The University of North Carolina School of the Arts (School) is the first state-supported, residential school of its kind in the nation. Established as the North Carolina School of the Arts by the North Carolina General Assembly in 1963, the School opened in Winston-Salem in 1965 and became part of the University of North Carolina system in 1972.

More than 1,100 students from middle school through graduate school train for careers in the arts in five professional schools: Dance, Design and Production (including a Visual Arts Program), Drama, Filmmaking, and Music. The School is North Carolina's only public arts conservatory, dedicated entirely to the professional training of students in the performing, visual and moving image arts.

[This Page Left Blank Intentionally]

1. CHIEF INFORMATION OFFICER MISAPPROPRIATED SCHOOL COMPUTER

The Chief Information Officer (CIO) used her position to misappropriate a laptop computer owned by the University of North Carolina School of the Arts (School). Specifically, the CIO gave the laptop computer to her son for his personal use while attending Appalachian State University. This inappropriate use violated School policy¹ and may have violated *North Carolina General Statute § 143-58.1.*²

The CIO is entrusted with the management of all computing and telecommunications resources at the School. Her responsibilities include the purchase of all computers and computer equipment used by the School's Information Technologies Department as well as the approval of large purchases of computers and computer equipment for other departments.

Two 15-inch Apple MacBook Pro laptop computers were among the computers purchased by the CIO for the Information Technologies Department. The School's records were inadequate to determine the purchase dates of the two laptops. As a result, investigators contacted Apple and obtained the product information shown in Table 1.

TABLE 1						
APPLE MACBOOK PRO COMPUTERS ACQUIRED BY CIO						
User	Product	Serial Number (S/N)	Purchase Date	Cost		
CIO	Apple MacBook Pro	W8011270642	March 16, 2010	\$2,828		
CIO/CIO's son	Apple MacBook Pro	W80230H2AGX	June 2, 2010	\$2,373		

The CIO told investigators that she acquired the first of the two laptop computers in June 2010. She said that, when this laptop computer began having connectivity problems with Wi-Fi³ and the School's Virtual Private Network (VPN)⁴, she acquired another 15-inch Apple MacBook Pro laptop computer. The CIO initially denied, but later confessed that, after acquiring a new laptop computer later that year, she took the "malfunctioning" laptop to her home. In late 2010, the CIO gave that laptop to her son who ultimately took it to Appalachian State University in August 2012. The CIO said, "There was no thought process about why or when to return [the laptop]." Her justification for taking the laptop for her son was that there was no need for the laptop at the School.

It appears that the laptop computer the CIO gave to her son was, in fact, working properly. Investigators determined through forensic examination of the laptop that it

² North Carolina General Statute § 143-58.1 - Unauthorized use of public purchase or contract procedures for private benefit

5

¹ University of North Carolina School of the Arts, Technology Use Policy #508 IV. C.1.d.

³ Wi-Fi is short for "Wireless Fidelity." Wi-Fi refers to wireless networking technology that allows computers and other devices to communicate over a wireless signal.

⁴ VPN is short for "Virtual Private Network." A VPN is a network that uses the internet to transfer information using secure methods.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (CONTINUED)

had been connected to Wi-Fi at Appalachian State. Investigators also asked the School's Assistant Director of Information Technologies to inspect the laptop for connectivity problems with Wi-Fi or the School's VPN. He confirmed that the Wi-Fi was functioning properly. He also said that there was no VPN software installed on the laptop computer. Without this software, the computer would not have been able to access the School's network.

No evidence exists indicating that the CIO attempted to repair the original laptop computer although it was covered under an extended warranty plan. Further, no repair estimates were obtained and the only recorded references to a possible VPN issue are in two e-mails, the earliest of which is dated December 2011. That was more than a year after the CIO brought the laptop home for her son. A School employee who is responsible for MacBook Pro VPN issues stated he would recall if someone came to him "multiple times" with a VPN issue. The employee had no such recollection regarding the CIO's MacBook Pro. All available evidence indicates that the laptop computer given by the CIO to her son worked properly.

The CIO attempted to conceal her son's use of the laptop computer by deleting his unauthorized user profile. The CIO later admitted that she deleted the unauthorized user profile the day before investigators took possession of the laptop.

Investigators performed a forensic analysis of the laptop computer and determined the CIO's son had used it since late 2010. This matched the time frame outlined by the CIO during her interviews with investigators. The analysis of the laptop's hard drive revealed extensive Internet activity attributable to the CIO's son.

The son's use of a School computer violated the School's Technology Use Policy.⁵ The policy stipulates that only authorized users may access the School's computing equipment. It also states that the use of computing equipment may not result in any direct cost to the School.⁶ The use of this laptop by the CIO's son suggests that either the School did not need this laptop or had to purchase an additional laptop for a School employee. Either way, the laptop was not used to fulfill the mission and objectives of the School.

The personal use of School equipment by the CIO's son also violated the School's Fixed Assets Policy.⁷ This policy stipulates that items purchased with School funds are the property of the state and are not intended for personal use.

RECOMMENDATION

School management should take disciplinary action against the Chief Information Officer regarding her misappropriation of state property.

⁵ University of North Carolina School of the Arts, Technology Use Policy #508 IV. A.1., A.2., B.1, B.3, C.1.c.

⁶ University of North Carolina School of the Arts, Technology Use Policy #508 IV. C.1.d.

⁷ University of North Carolina School of the Arts, Fixed Assets Policy #304 IV. A.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (CONTINUED)

School management should re-emphasize its computer use and fixed asset policies to all faculty and staff. The computer use and fixed asset policies should include consequences for non-compliance and all employees should provide written acknowledgement of their understanding of both policies.

The School should update its State Property Incident Report filing with the State Bureau of Investigation to reflect the details of the misappropriation of the Apple MacBook Pro laptop computer. (See *North Carolina General Statute §114-15.1* for filing requirements.)

2. NONCOMPLIANCE WITH SCHOOL EQUIPMENT POLICY

Investigators found that the CIO did not follow School policies for safeguarding and tracking equipment in the Information Technologies Department. According to School policy, department heads are responsible for safeguarding and tracking all School equipment in their departments. As the head of the School's Information Technologies Department, the CIO is responsible for the equipment in that department.

Investigators also determined that the School's recently installed "Track-It" system, used for tracking issues with computers, tablets, cell phones, and other electronic devices was deficient. Specifically, the CIO informed investigators that, while repairs to students' computers were always recorded in "Track-It," repairs to faculty member's computers usually were not.

The School's fixed asset policy states that department heads are responsible for safeguarding all assets purchased for the department and assisting with the physical inventory process. The policy further states that departments must have an internal tracking procedure for school equipment. School equipment would include computers with an acquisition cost of less than \$5,000. The CIO told investigators that her department does not conduct an annual inventory of any computers with an acquisition cost of less than \$5,000.

In addition to the School's policies, the North Carolina Office of the State Controller (State Controller) established best practices for safeguarding assets. The State Controller's policies separate fixed assets into two categories: inventoried assets and capitalized assets. While computers do not rise to the level of a capitalized asset in terms of cost (more than \$5,000), they are still considered to be inventoried assets; "goods or objects" that are valued between \$500 and \$4,999. The State Controller also stipulates that a physical inventory will be taken of inventoried assets at least once a year at the same time the capitalized fixed assets are inventoried.

⁸ North Carolina School of the Arts, Fixed Assets Policy #304 IV. B.1.

⁹ North Carolina School of the Arts, Fixed Assets Policy #304 IV. B.2.

¹⁰ Office of the State Controller Policies and Procedures – Fixed Asset Overview.

¹¹ Office of the State Control Capitalization/Classification Policy.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (CONTINUED)

RECOMMENDATION

School management should verify that a physical inventory of School equipment is performed in conjunction with the annual fixed asset inventory.

The School's fixed asset policy should be re-emphasized with all department heads to ensure compliance.

School management should establish a requirement that all issues with computers and other electronic devices processed through its Information Technologies Department are recorded in the "Track-It" system.

3. SUPERVISORY APPROVAL NOT REQUIRED FOR EQUIPMENT PURCHASES

School management told investigators that supervisory approval was not required for department heads purchasing equipment for use in their respective departments.

The School uses a staggered three-year cycle for issuing new computers to various departments. The School refers to this cycle as the "recycling process." Within the three-year recycling process for the School's Information Technologies Department, the CIO requisitioned a variety of digital equipment for her own use, as shown in Table 2.

TABLE 2						
DIGITAL EQUIPMENT REQUISITIONED BY CIO*						
Product	Serial Number	Date	Cost			
27" Apple iMac Desktop	QP0230845PM	6/6/2010	\$2,693			
27" Apple Cinema Display	W82171636JL	6/10/2012	\$1,028			
Apple MacBook Pro Laptop	W8011270642	3/16/2010	\$2,828			
Apple MacBook Pro Laptop	W80230H2AGX	6/2/2010	\$2,373			
Dell Latitude E6530 Laptop	4X2ZKV1	10/2/2012	\$1,083			
Apple iPad 64GB	DMPHJ3MVDJ8V	4/12/2012	\$798			
Apple iPad Mini 64GB	F4KKK4PNF195	3/26/2013	\$667			
LG Smart 42LV5500 42" LED HD TV	201RMKU4B125	2/10/2012	\$898			
Panasonic TC L42E50 42" LCD TV	22820724	1/24/2013	\$730			
Total			\$13,098			

^{*} The equipment listed above was requisitioned by the CIO for her own use. The cost figures represent the amounts paid by the School for these products during the three-year recycling process ended March 2013.

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (CONCLUDED)

The Apple MacBook Pro laptop S/N W80230H2AGX, listed above, was in the possession of the CIO's son the day before investigators arrived at the School and took possession of it. If the CIO had been required to provide justification and obtain supervisory approval prior to purchase, it is possible that the purchase of the other laptops, including the one given to her son, would have been questioned.

Requiring supervisory approval helps ensure accountability over the acquisition of equipment. Supervisors should evaluate the appropriateness of each request for new equipment prior to approving the purchase. The approval policy should apply to all employees, including department heads.

RECOMMENDATION

School management should adopt a policy that requires supervisory approval for department heads requisitioning equipment for their own use at the School.

[This Page Left Blank Intentionally]

University of North Carolina School of the Arts James Moeser, Interim Chancellor moeserj@uncsa.edu

1533 S. Main Street Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27127-2188 phone 336.770.3200 | fax 336.734.2900 www.uncsa.edu



August 26, 2013

The Honorable Beth A. Wood, State Auditor Office of the State Auditor 2 South Salisbury Street 20601 Mail Service Center Raleigh, NC 27699-0601

Dear Auditor Wood,

I want to thank you for the recommendations outlined within this report. From the moment we were contacted by your investigative audit division, the University of North Carolina School of the Arts (UNCSA) has made every effort to fully cooperate and provide timely information.

UNCSA has always been a staunch advocate of maintaining a vigorous and effective internal control environment. We believe that the fact that we have had only one financial audit finding over the last 22 years validates UNCSA's serious efforts toward ensuring properly functioning internal controls. Does this mean that the internal control environment is perfect? No. Can it be improved? Absolutely.

Immediately after learning of this incident, we began the task of trying to identify the internal control weaknesses involved in this incident. Once identified, we examined the options of putting in place additional procedures that would further reduce our risk. As a result of this review, we have modified our purchasing approval processes and our asset control procedures regarding certain categories of non-capitalized IT equipment. Internal Audit will ensure that corrective action is taken on all issues.

Please find our responses to your recommendations attached to this letter.

We would like to express our appreciation for the professionalism with which your auditors conducted the investigation.

Sincerely,

James Moeser Interim Chancellor

um Newson

Enclosure: UNCSA Response to August 2013 Investigative Audit Draft Report

CC: George Burnette, Chief Operating Officer
David Nelson, Provost
Carin Ioannou, Senior Director of Business Affairs
James Lucas, Director of Human Resources
Shannon Henry, WSSU/UNCSA Director of Internal Audit

Finding #1 Chief Information Officer Misappropriated School Computer

The University concurs that there was a misappropriation of school property. As you know, the school, immediately upon admission by the employee, took disciplinary action. This disciplinary action will be reviewed again in context of the findings and recommendations outlined in this report.

As recommended, the school will include annually, in its weekly campus-wide email, a reminder of the school's computer and fixed assets policies. The school will review its policies, procedures and new employee orientation practices to ensure that all employees acknowledge that they have read and understand the UNCSA fixed assets policies and procedures and expectations associated with compliance.

Thank you for acknowledging that the school did comply with the required statutory State Bureau of Investigation (SBI) filing (G.S. 114-15.1) of this incident. It was always the intent of the Chief of Police to update the initial SBI submission once the school had obtained this report. The SBI Incident Report will be updated within one week of the release of this report.

As reflected in the responses below, the school has reviewed and enhanced its internal controls over certain categories of non-capitalized IT related equipment to try to further reduce its risk of a potential misuse of school property.

Finding #2 Noncompliance With School Equipment Policy

The North Carolina Office of the State Controller offers state agencies four options regarding noncapitalized assets. These four options can be found in the Fixed Asset Overview section of the State Information Guide. UNCSA adopted option four of this policy. This policy states: "The \$5,000 threshold indicates that your agency chooses not to document assets valued at less than \$5,000." Since UNCSA elected this option, an annual inventory of non-capitalized items is not mandated. Even though UNCSA made this election, the school felt that additional controls over certain categories of these assets were warranted. As noted in the report, UNCSA developed an institutional policy that states: "For fixed assets and school equipment, departments will develop an internal tracking procedure which includes specific locations." Upon additional analysis, this policy will need further elaboration. Due to its lack of specificity, the school's departments have interpreted and implemented this policy in various ways and at various levels of detail. Some of the departments were managing these inventorial items with more detail while others were not. Since becoming aware of this audit issue, four departments, including the IT department, have created an inventory process for certain categories of non-capitalized IT related equipment. The school will review its current policies and processes to provide more direct guidance to the departments and will determine the necessary refinements to its policies and procedures that are manageable with the existing personnel resources and processes in place. UNCSA will develop an annual inventory process for the non-capitalized (inventoried) assets that are tracked at the departmental level.

The school, on an annual basis, will remind all employees of its fixed assets policies.

The school believes, with the exception of the IT Department, that the "Track-It System" is being used to track all IT related issues brought into the Help-Desk area. The IT Department did not use this system since all of the IT employees are located within close proximity of each other. The IT Department will now be included. The school will continue to ensure that these repairs are properly tracked.

Finding #3 Supervisory Approval Not Required For Equipment Purchases

The school concurs with the recommendation and will adopt a procedure that requires all equipment and furniture purchases of the direct reports to either the Provost or the Chief Operating Officer be approved by them.

ORDERING INFORMATION

Copies of this report may be obtained by contacting the:

Office of the State Auditor State of North Carolina 2 South Salisbury Street 20601 Mail Service Center Raleigh, North Carolina 27699-0601

> Telephone: 919-807-7500 Facsimile: 919-807-7647

Internet: http://www.ncauditor.net

To report alleged incidents of fraud, waste or abuse in state government contact the:

Office of the State Auditor Fraud Hotline: 1-800-730-8477 or download our free app



https://play.google.com/store/apps/details?id=net.ncauditor.ncauditor



https://itunes.apple.com/us/app/nc-state-auditor-hotline/id567315745

For additional information contact:
Bill Holmes
Director of External Affairs
919-807-7513